



Treewidth of planar graphs: connections with duality

Vincent Bouchitté, Frédéric . Mazoit, Ioan Todinca

► To cite this version:

Vincent Bouchitté, Frédéric . Mazoit, Ioan Todinca. Treewidth of planar graphs: connections with duality. Euroconference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications, Sep 2001, Barcelona, France. pp.34-38, 10.1016/S1571-0653(04)00353-1 . hal-00351167

HAL Id: hal-00351167

<https://hal.science/hal-00351167>

Submitted on 8 Jan 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Treewidth of planar graphs: connection with duality

Vincent Bouchitté¹, Frédéric Mazoit¹, and Ioan Todinca²

¹ LIP-École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, 46 Allée d'Italie, 69364 Lyon Cedex 07, France, {Vincent.Bouchitte, Frederic.Mazoit}@ens-lyon.fr

² LIFO - Université d'Orléans, BP 6759, 45067 Orléans Cedex 2, France
Ioan.Todinca@lifo.univ-orleans.fr

1 Preliminaries

A graph is said to be *chordal* if each cycle with at least four vertices has a chord, that is an edge between two non-consecutive vertices of the cycle. Given an arbitrary graph $G = (V, E)$, a *triangulation* of G is a chordal graph $H (= V, F)$ such that $E \subseteq F$. We say that H is a *minimal triangulation* of G if no proper subgraph of H is a triangulation of G . The **treewidth** $\text{tw}(H)$ of a chordal graph is its maximum cliquesize minus one. The tree-width of an arbitrary graph G is the minimum, over all triangulations H of G , of $\text{tw}(H)$. When computing the treewidth of G , we can clearly restrict to minimal triangulations. Treewidth was introduced by Robertson and Seymour in connection with graph minors [5], but it has wide algorithmic applications since many NP-hard problems become polynomial when restricted to graphs of bounded treewidth.

Robertson and Seymour conjectures in [5] that the treewidth of a planar graph G and its dual G^* differ by at most one. This conjecture was recently proved by Lapoire [3], who gives a more general result, on hypergraphs of bounded genus. Nevertheless, the proof of Lapoire is rather long and technical. Here, we show that any minimal triangulation H of a planar graph G can be easily transformed into a triangulation H^* of G^* , such that $\text{tw}(H^*) \leq \text{tw}(H) + 1$.

The *minimal separators* play a crucial role in the characterisation of the minimal triangulations of a graph. A subset $S \subseteq V$ separates two non-adjacent vertices $a, b \in V$ if a and b are in different connected components of $G \setminus S$. S is a *minimal a, b -separator* if it separates a and b and no proper subset of S separates a and b . We say that S is a *minimal separator* of G if there are two vertices a and b such that S is a minimal a, b -separator. Notice that a minimal separator can be strictly included into another. We denote by Δ_G the set of all minimal separators of G . Two minimal separators S and T *cross* if T intersects at least two components of $G \setminus S$. Otherwise, S and T are *parallel*. Both relations are symmetric.

Let $S \in \Delta_G$ be a minimal separator. We denote by G_S the graph obtained from G by *completing* S , i.e. by adding an edge between every pair of non-adjacent vertices of S . If $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta_G$ is a set of separators of G , G_Γ is the graph obtained by completing all the separators of Γ . The result of [2], concluded in [4], establish a

strong relation between the minimal triangulations of a graph and its minimal separators.

Theorem 1. *H is a minimal triangulation of G if and only if there is a maximal set of pairwise parallel separators $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta_G$ such that $H = G_\Gamma$.*

Since it is easy to extend our results to simply connected or disconnected graphs, we will restrict to 2-connected graphs.

2 Minimal separators in planar graphs

Consider a 2-connected planar graph $G = (V, E)$. We fix an embedding of G in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Let F be the set of faces of this embedding. Let G_I be the set of faces of this embedding. The *intermediate graph* G_I has vertex set $V \cup F$. We place an edge in G_I between an original vertex $v \in V$ and a face $f \in F$ whenever the corresponding vertex and face are incident in G . Notice that $(G^*)_I = G_I$.

Let ν be a cycle of G_I (by “cycle” we will always mean a cycle which does not get through a same vertex twice). The drawing of ν forms a Jordan curve in the plane \mathbb{R}^2 , denoted $\tilde{\nu}$. It is easy to see that if $\tilde{\nu}$ separates two original vertices x and y in the plane (i.e. x and y are in different regions of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \nu$), then $\nu \cap V$ separates x and y in G . Therefore, the original vertices of ν form a separator in G . Conversely, to each minimal separator S of G , we can associate a cycle ν of G_I (see [1]).

Proposition 1. *Let S be a minimal separator of the planar graph G . Consider two connected components C and D of $G \setminus S$. There is a cycle ν_S of G_I such that $\tilde{\nu}$ separates C and D in the plane.*

This cycle is usually not unique. In the case of 3-connected planar graphs, notice that if S is a minimal separator, then $G \setminus S$ has exactly two connected components C and D . For each couple of original vertices x and y incident to a same face, fix a unique face $f(x, y)$ containing both x and y . We say that a cycle ν of G_I is well-formed if, for any two consecutive original vertices $x, y \in \nu$, the face-vertex between them is $f(x, y)$. If G is a 3-connected planar graph, for any minimal separator S , there is a unique well-formed cycle of G_I separating C and D in the plane.

In what follows, G denotes a 3-connected planar graph. However, our main results can be easily extended to arbitrary planar graphs.

We say that two Jordan curves $\tilde{\nu}_1$ and $\tilde{\nu}_2$ *cross* if $\tilde{\nu}_1$ intersects the two regions defined by $\tilde{\nu}_2$. Otherwise, they are *parallel*. Two cycles ν_1 and ν_2 of G_I *cross* if and only if $\tilde{\nu}_1$ and $\tilde{\nu}_2$ cross. Notice that the parallel and crossing relations between curves and cycles are symmetric.

Proposition 2. *Two minimal separators S and T of G are parallel if and only if the corresponding cycles ν_S and ν_T of G_I are parallel.*

Let $\tilde{\nu}$ be a Jordan curve in the plane. Let R be one of the regions of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{\nu}$. We say that $(\tilde{\nu}, R) = \tilde{\nu} \cup R$ is a *one-block region* of the plane, *bordered* by $\tilde{\nu}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be a set of curves such that for each $\tilde{\nu} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, there is a one-block region $(\tilde{\nu}, R(\tilde{\nu}))$ containing all the curves of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. We define the *region between* the elements of \mathcal{C} as $RB(\mathcal{C}) = \cap_{\tilde{\nu} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}} (\tilde{\nu}, R(\tilde{\nu}))$. A subset $Br \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ of the plane is a *block region* if BR is a one-block region $(\tilde{\nu}, R)$ or BR is the region between some set of curves $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.

3 Minimal triangulations of G and G^*

Let G be a 3-connected planar graph and let H be a minimal triangulation of G . According to Theorem 1, there is a maximal set of pairwise parallel separators $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta_G$ such that $H = G_\Gamma$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma) = \{\nu_S \mid S \in \Gamma\}$ be the cycles of G_I associated to the minimal separators of Γ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma) = \{\tilde{\nu}_S \mid S \in \Gamma\}$ be the curves associated to these cycles. According to Proposition 2, the cycles of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ are pairwise parallel. Thus, the curves of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$ split the plane into block regions. Consider the set of all the block regions bordered by some elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. We show that any maximal clique Ω of H corresponds to the original vertices contained in a minimal block regions defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a 3-connected planar graph and let $H = G_\Gamma$ be a minimal triangulation of G . $\Omega \subseteq V$ is a maximal clique of H if and only if there is a minimal block region BR defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$. such that $\Omega = BR \cap V$.*

Let now \mathcal{C} be an arbitrary set of pairwise parallel cycles of G_I . This family $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ of curves associated to these cycles splits the plane into block regions. Let G^* be the dual of G . The graph $H^*(\mathcal{C}) = (F, E_H)$ has vertex set F . We place an edge between two face-vertices f and f' of H if and only if f and f' are in a same minimal block region defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Equivalently, f and f' are non-adjacent in $H^*(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if there is a $\tilde{\nu} \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ separating f and f' in the plane.

Theorem 3. *$H^*(\mathcal{C})$ is a triangulation of G^* . Moreover, any clique Ω^* of H^* is contained in some minimal block region BR defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$.*

Let $H = G_\Gamma$ be a minimal triangulation of G . Consider the cycles $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ associated to the minimal separators of Γ and the corresponding curves $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$. We could try to considerate the triangulation $H^*(\mathcal{C}(\Gamma))$ of G^* , but unfortunately it does not satisfy $\text{tw}(H^*) \leq \text{tw}(H) + 1$.

Thus, we consider a maximal set of pairwise parallel cycles \mathcal{C}' of G_I such that $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{C}'$. Clearly, each minimal block region defined by \mathcal{C}' is contained in a minimal block region defined by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma)$.

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{C}' be a maximal set of pairwise parallel cycles of G_I . Let BR be a minimal block region of \mathcal{C}' . Then $Br \cap G_I$ is either formed by a cycle $\tilde{\nu}$ and a path $\tilde{\mu}$ joining two vertices of $\tilde{\nu}$ or BR is a one-block region $(\tilde{\nu}, R)$ and $BR \cap G_I = \nu$ where ν is the cycle of G_I associated to $\tilde{\nu}$. In particular, $|BR \cap V^*| \leq |BR \cap V| + 1$.*

According to theorem 3, each maximal clique Ω^* of H^* is contained in some minimal block region BR , and by the previous theorem it has at most one more vertex than $\Omega = BR \cap V$. By theorem 2, Ω is a clique of H . Hence, $|\Omega^*| \leq |\Omega| + 1$ and thus $\text{tw}(H^*) \leq \text{tw}(H) + 1$. By considering an optimal triangulation H of G , we obtain a triangulation H^* of G^* of width at most $\text{tw}(G) + 1$. We conclude that $\text{tw}(G^*) \leq \text{tw}(G) + 1$.

So we can state:

Theorem 5 (Main theorem). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a planar graph.*

$$|\text{tw}(G) - \text{tw}(G^*)| \leq 1.$$

References

1. D. Eppstein. Subgraph isomorphism in planar graphs and related problems. *Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 3(3):1–27, 1999.
2. T. Kloks, D. Kratsch, and H. Müller. Approximating the Bandwidth for Asteroidal Triple-Free Graphs. *Journal of Algorithms*, 32:41–57, 1999.
3. D. Lapoire. Treewidth and duality for planar hypergraphs. Manuscript: http://www.labri.fr/perso/lapoire/papers/dual_planar_treewidth.ps, 1996.
4. A. Parra and P. Scheffler. Characterizations and algorithmic applications of chordal graph embeddings. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 79(1-3):171–188, 1997.
5. N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour. Graph Minors. III. Planar Tree-Width. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B*, 36(1):49–64, 1984.